## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI **EASTERN DIVISION**

BOBBY JOSEPH HAMMOND,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 4:21-cv-482-RWS
	)	
B.O.P. SPRINGFIELD, MO., et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER OF TRANSFER

This matter is before the Court upon review of a complaint and motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis filed by Bobby Joseph Hammond. For the reasons explained below, plaintiff's motion will be provisionally granted. Additionally, the Court will order this action transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Plaintiff resides at the Springfield Medical Center for Federal Prisoners in Springfield, Missouri, pursuant to a 2018 order of commitment entered in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. He filed the complaint on April 26, 2021 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the "B.O.P. Springfield, MO," Forrest City, Arkansas, two judges, and two doctors. Plaintiff's claims concern the conditions of his confinement. More specifically, plaintiff alleges he was physically abused and not fed for 20 days, and he alleges he spent 30 months in "the hole." He also claims he was subjected to cruel and unusual punishment, and verbally abused by corrections officers. It is apparent the events or omissions giving rise to plaintiff's claims occurred at the Springfield Medical Center for Federal Prisoners in Springfield,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See U.S. v. Hammond, 760 F. App'x 489 (8th Cir. 2019) (affirming the district court's commitment order).

Missouri, which is located in the Western District of Missouri. See 28 U.S.C. § 105(b)(5).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), an action of this type may be brought only in: "(1) a judicial

district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the

district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions

giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action

is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided

in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal

jurisdiction with respect to such action."

Because the events or omissions giving rise to plaintiff's claims occurred in the Western

District, venue is proper there. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), "[t]he district court of a district in

which is filed a case laying venue in the wrong division or district shall dismiss, or if it be in the

interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been

brought." Here, the Court concludes that it is in the interests of justice to transfer this case to the

United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma

pauperis (ECF No. 2) is **PROVISIONALLY GRANTED**, subject to modification by the United

States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall TRANSFER this case to the

United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

Dated this 7th day of May, 2021.

ODNEY W. SIPPEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE